BENEDICT, W. S., GAILAR, N. & PLYLER, E. K. (1956). J. Chem. Phys. 24, 1139.

- COULSON, C. A. (1970). *Thermal Neutron Diffraction*. Edited by B. T. M. WILLIS. Oxford Univ. Press.
- COULSON, C. A. & THOMAS, M. W. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1354
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 757.
- DAWSON, B. (1965). Aust. J. Chem. 18, 595.
- DAWSON, B. (1967). Proc. Roy. Soc. A 298, 255.
- ECKART, C. (1935). Phys. Rev. 47, 552.
- EISENBERG, D. & KAUZMANN, W. (1969). The Structure and Properties of Water. Oxford Univ. Press.

- HAMILTON, W. C. & LA PLACA, S. J. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 1147.
- KERN, C. W. (1970). Private communication.
- KUCHITSU, K. & BARTELL, L. S. (1962). J. Chem. Phys. 36, 2460.
- KUCHITSU, K. & MORINO, Y. (1965). Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 38, 814.
- NEUMANN, D. & MOSKOWITZ, J. W. (1968). J. Chem. Phys. 49, 2056.
- PLIVA, J. & CIHLA, Z. (1963). Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 28, 1232.
- WILSON, E. B., DECIUS, J. C. & CROSS, P. C. (1955). Molecular Vibrations. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 1765

Refinement of the Crystal Structure of Ferroelectric Acid Lithium Selenite: Position of the Lithium Ion

By J.K. MOHANA RAO AND M.A. VISWAMITRA

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-12, India

(Received 10 November 1969 and in revised form 2 November 1970)

The lithium ion in the ferroelectric acid lithium selenite (not obtained in the original X-ray work of Vedam, Okaya & Pepinsky) has been located at the pseudoinversion centre $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4},0)$ from considerations of symmetry, crystal chemistry and model building. This position is confirmed from three-dimensional Fourier and difference Fourier syntheses, the peak height at the lithium ion site being three times the standard deviation in the electron density. The lithium ion is coordinated octahedrally to six non-equivalent selenite-oxygen atoms. The hydrogen positions have been proposed on the basis of bond-length and bond-angle criteria. They indicate that the selenite groups containing Se(1) and Se(2) have respectively the structures H₂SeO₃ and HSeO₃⁻.

Introduction

Pepinsky & Vedam (1959) first reported that acid lithium selenite, LiH₃(SeO₃)₂, abbreviated hereafter as ALS, is a room-temperature ferroelectric. It melts at 110°C before a Curie point is reached. It is the only soft ferroelectric known so far to exhibit an appreciably high value of spontaneous polarization, Ps, viz. 15 microcoulomb.cm⁻² (Jona & Shirane, 1962). The direction of P_s is in the mirror plane, approximately normal to (001) (Pepinsky & Vedam, 1959; Berlincourt, Cook & Rander, 1963). The mechanism of polarization reversal in ALS single crystals was studied by Fatuzzo (1959 and 1960). Berlincourt and his colleagues, besides dielectric studies, reported invesstigations on the piezo- and pyro-electric, as well as the elastic, properties of this crystal. Recently, a ferro- to para-electric transition at 72°C was induced in ALS by the application of a hydrostatic pressure of 12.5 kbar, which indicated a hypothetical Curie point of 147°C (Samara & Anderson, 1966; Samara, 1968). ALS was also the first crystal with point group m to show rotatory power along its optic axes and for which a dextro-laevo conversion was obtained by the application of an electric field (Futama & Pepinsky, 1962).

The crystal structure of ALS was first solved by Xray diffraction by Vedam, Okaya & Pepinsky (1960), using isotropic thermal parameters for all the atoms. They, however, made no attempt to locate the lithium ion in the structure directly, but assigned to it a probable position (0.65, 0.075, 0.23) from packing considerations. Later, a preliminary neutron diffraction study was made by Van den Hende & Boutin (1963) who reported the coordinates of the hydrogen atoms. The lithium ion, however, was not located, probably because of insufficient data and also because of the small neutron scattering cross-section for the most abundant isotope of the lithium ion. From considerations of the angular dependence of 7Li (nuclear magnetic resonance study of the crystal), Gavrilova-Podolskaya (1966) concluded that the lithium ion occupies the position $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ 누).

Experimental

In the course of the X-ray analysis of some ferro- and piezo-electric crystals, the authors constructed a threedimensional model of the structure of ALS and found that the positions assigned to the lithium ion by the earlier workers were not possible. The proposed positions were too close to the heavy selenium atoms and

in addition had highly distorted and irregular lithium coordination polyhedra. A careful examination of the model revealed that the site $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 0)$ had six oxygen atoms at distances 2.0 to 2.3 Å occupying the corners of a well-defined octahedron. This and the symmetry related position $(0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2})$ seemed to be the most probable sites for the two lithium ions in the unit cell, because these distances were just what one would expect for the Li-O contacts if the lithium ions were octahedrally coordinated. In ferroelectric crystals, octahedral coordination of oxygen atoms for ions of inert-gas configuration is quite common (Matthias, 1967). For example, in lithium niobate (Bailey, 1952; Abrahams, Reddy & Bernstein, 1966; Abrahams, Hamilton & Reddy, 1966) and lithium tantalate (Bailey, 1952; Abrahams & Bernstein, 1967; Abrahams, Hamilton & Sequeira, 1967), the lithium ions are octahedrally coordinated. Also in NaH₃(SeO₃)₂ (Chou & Tang, 1957, 1958; Vijayan, 1968; Kaplan, Kay & Morosin, 1970) and NaD₃(SeO₃)₂ (Mohana Rao, 1970), the oxygen-coordination polyhedron for the sodium ion is again an octahedron.

To obtain direct evidence for this position of the lithium ion from electron density maps, a computation of three-dimensional Fourier and difference-Fourier syntheses from the original data of Vedam *et al.* was felt worth while as these syntheses had not been carried out earlier by them. In this structure, the position of the lithium ion is of particular interest not only because of the part it might play in the ferroelectric properties of the crystal, but also because the hydrogen bonding scheme in the structure depends upon a knowledge of the position of the lithium ion. In view of these considerations, the refinement of the crystal structure of ALS was attempted. Crystal data LiH₃(SeO₃)₂ Formula weight 263.88 Monoclinic Space group Pn Z=2 $a=6.2534 \pm 0.0004$ Å $b=7.8830 \pm 0.0002$ $c=5.4335 \pm 0.0011$ $\beta=105^{\circ} 18.6 \pm 0.6'$ U=258.35 Å³ (after Berlincourt, Cook & Rander, 1963)

Refinement of the structure

The structure-factor data of Vedam *et al.* (document No. 6242 with ADI, Auxiliary Publication Project, Photo Duplication Services) was obtained from the Library of Congress, Washington, D.C. The first three-dimensional structure-factor calculations using the parameters quoted by Vedam *et al.* and after suitably scaling them yielded an R index of 15% where R is defined as

$$R = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|$$
(1)

After four cycles of least-squares calculations, the problem of locating the lithium ion was attempted.

Location of the lithium ion

Many ferroelectric crystals often possess 'pseudosymmetric structures'. Although ALS belongs to the monoclinic space group Pn, the crystal structure departs only slightly from the space group $P2_1/n$. The two selenite groups in the asymmetric part of the unit cell are related by a pseudo-inversion centre. Since there are only two lithium ions in the unit cell and the general

	x	. У	Ζ	Qo (f	Qc for all atoms)	σ(ϱ)	Qo (wi	Qc thout Li ⁺ ion	σ(ϱ) n)
Se(1)	-0.26723	0.60654	0.58704	131.7	132.0	1.1	131.8	132.0	1.1
Se(2)	0.26636	0.90050	0.41064	137.7	137.4	1.1	137.7	137.4	1.1
oùí	-0.2931	0.7717	0.3632	23.0	22.8	0.8	22.9	22.8	0.8
$\tilde{O}(2)$	0.3137	0.7260	0.5958	18.7	19.2	0.7	18.6	19-2	0.8
ŌĠ	-0.2014	0.7196	0.8519	17.7	17.6	0.8	17.8	17.6	0.8
O(4)	0.1810	0.8081	0.1065	15.1	16.5	0.8	15.1	16.5	0.8
$\tilde{O}(\tilde{5})$	-0.0100	0.5293	0.5583	20.6	20.9	0.8	20-8	21.0	0.8
ŌĞ	0.0232	0.9797	0.4142	17.4	18.0	0.7	17.3	18.0	0.7
Li	0.0000	0.2500	0.5000	4.5	5.1	1.0	3.7	0.2	1.0
*	0.0000	0.2500	0.0000	-0.5	0.6	1.0	-0.5	0.2	1.0
*	0.5000	0.2500	0.0000	-0.5	0.4	1.0	-0.1	0.4	1.0
*	0.5000	0.2500	0.2000	1.5	0.9	1.0	1.4	0.9	1.0
†	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-1.1	0.0	0.7	-1.1	0.0	0.7
t	0.7333	0.6000	0.3333	3.3	-0.6	1.0	3.4	-0.6	1.0
Ť	0.2667	0.8750	0.6667	2.9	-0.7	1.0	3.0	-0.6	1.0
š	0.6500	0.0750	0.2300	-0.4	0.9	0.8	-0.4	0.9	0.9
Ť	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	-0.6	0.4	0.7	-0.5	0.4	0.7

Table 1. Electron densities and their errors at the atomic sites

The coordinates are in fractional units and the electron densities have the dimensions e.Å⁻³.

* Other pseudo-inversion centres in the structure.

† Other special positions in the structure (one of which was concluded to be the position of the lithium ion from NQR studies).

Positions corresponding to the heavy peaks near the Se atoms in the difference map.

§ The position suggested by Vedam et al. for the lithium ion.

multiplicity for the space group $P2_1/n$ is four, the lithium ion is likely to occupy a pseudo-inversion centre. There are eight such pseudo-inversion centres in the unit cell, those in the asymmetric part being $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, $(0,\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4},0)$ and $(0,\frac{3}{4},0)$. From a three-dimensional model of the structure, as pointed out previously, the lithium ion was found most likely to occupy $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 0)$, with an octahedral coordination of oxygen atoms. A threedimensional difference-Fourier synthesis computed at the end of the fourth SFLS cycle justified this conclusion by giving a peak of about 3.5 e.Å⁻³ at $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4},0)$. The final difference-Fourier map computed without the lithium ion is shown in Fig. 1. Besides the peak at $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4},0)$, there are two other peaks with heights above 3e.Å⁻³ which are very close to the heavy selenium atoms (indicated by crosses in the figure, the distance from the selenium atom to the peaks being about 1.4\AA and which are more likely to be diffraction ripples from



Fig. 1. Composite difference-Fourier map of sections parallel to (010). Peaks having density above $3e.Å^{-3}$ only are shown. The contours are drawn at intervals of 0.5 e.Å^{-3} starting at 1.5 e.Å^{-3}. + signs indicate the selenium positions. The signs \times , \bigcirc and Li indicate respectively the positions of the lithium ion as proposed by Vedam *et al.* (VOP), Gavrilova-Podolskaya (GP) and the present study.



Fig. 2. Composite Fourier map of sections parallel to (010). The contours are drawn at intervals of 1, 2.5 and 20 e.Å⁻³ starting at 1, 5, 10 e.Å⁻³ for Li⁺, O and Se atoms respectively.

the heavy atoms. At the positions proposed by Vedam *et al.* as well as Gavrilova-Podolskaya, and also at the other pseudo-inversion centres in the structure, no peaks are present.

As there exists a possibility of errors occurring at symmetry positions (Lipson & Cochran, 1966), the standard deviation in the peak heights (Cruickshank, 1965) at all the pseudo-inversion centres as well as at the lithium positions chosen by the earlier workers, was calculated by using the formula

$$\sigma^{2}[\varrho(xyz)] = \frac{1}{U^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{\text{indep}} [\sigma^{2}(F)(\sum_{\text{form}} \cos\theta)^{2}] \right\}.$$
 (2)

with a Fortran program written by one of the authors (JKMR) for the space group *Pn*. The symbols have their usual meaning and $\sigma(F)$ was taken as $|F_o - F_c|$. The results of these computations are presented in Table 1. The σ values were calculated both with and without the contribution of the lithium ion to the final structure factors. It can readily be seen from the table that $\rho_o(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4},0) \approx 3\sigma(\rho)$ in both cases. In the final Fourier synthesis (Fig. 2), a peak height of 4.5e.Å⁻³ was found at the proposed site of the lithium ion, though a few other spurious peaks of the same magnitude were also present, probably because of the presence of the heavy selenium atoms in the structure.

In the final SFLS calculations, the lithium ion was also included and the refinement continued with only isotropic temperature factors and keeping the lithium position fixed. Prior to this, a few anisotropic least-squares refinement cycles were also computed, but it was found that the shifts in the anisotropic thermal parameters were not meaningful. The final *R* factor for 574 reflections is 0.116 with the lithium ion and 0.117 without it. These *R* factors reduce to 11.2% and 11.3% if one omits a few strong reflexions (marked *) for which $\Delta F \ge 20.0$ and which seem to suffer from some unknown errors.

The refinement cycles were done on the Elliott 803 B computer at Hindustan Aeronautics Limited, Bangalore-17, using a block-diagonal least-squares program written by Dr G. A. Mair. The quantity minimized in the program is $\sum w |KF_o - F_c|^2$. In the final cycles, all positional shifts were less than the corresponding standard deviations, the maximum and the average being 0.72σ and 0.18σ respectively. The weighting scheme of Cruickshank was employed. It was of the form

$$w = 1/[a+b|KF_o|+c|KF_o|^2]$$
(3)

where a = 500, b = 0.5, c = 0.0002 and K = 100. The atomic scattering factors were those given by Cromer & Waber (1965).

Results

The final positional and thermal parameters of the atoms in the asymmetric part of the unit cell are given in Table 2. Table 3 lists the observed and calculated structure factors. Fig. 2 shows the composite drawing of the three-dimensional Fourier map and Fig. 3 shows

the environment of the lithium ion at $(0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2})$. Figs. 4 and 5 give a view of the structure looking down the b and c axes respectively.

Table 2 (cont.)

		x	У	Z	$B(A^2)$
	Se(2)	0.2664 (5)	0.9005 (3)	0.4106 (5)	0.81
		0.2660 (10)	0.9010 (0)	0.4130 (10)	1.08
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	O(1)	-0.293(3)	0.772(2)	0.363 (3)	0.45
isotropic		-0.290(5)	0.771(3)	0.363 (5)	1.19
mmetric	O(2)	0.314 (3)	0.726(2)	0.596 (3)	1.13
		0.314 (4)	0.725 (3)	0.599 (4)	1.06
	O(3)	-0.201(3)	0.720 (2)	0.852 (3)	1.08
values of Ve-		-0.195(6)	0.732 (4)	0.853 (6)	2.47
The asymme-	O(4)	0.181 (4)	0.808 (3)	0.107 (4)	1.81
onform to the		0.191 (6)	0.807 (4)	0.130 (6)	2.69
	O(5)	- 0·010 (3)	0.529 (2)	0.558 (4)	0.89
D (8 D)		-0.015(5)	0.534 (3)	0.561 (5)	1.07
$B(A^2)$	O(6)	0.023 (3)	0.980 (2)	0.414 (4)	1.37
(5) 1.00		0.021 (6)	0.993 (4)	0.418 (6)	3.10
(10) 1.17	Li	0.50 (2)	0.75 (1)	0.00 (2)	3.34
	isotropic mmetric values of Ve- The asymme- onform to the $B(Å^2)$ (5) 1.00 (10) 1.17	$\begin{array}{c} & Se(2) \\ \hline isotropic \\ mmetric \\ O(2) \\ O(3) \\ values of Ve- \\ The asymme- \\ onform to the \\ O(5) \\ \hline B(Å^2) \\ (5) \\ 1\cdot00 \\ (10) \\ 1\cdot17 \\ Li \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors

For each reflexion, the order of entries is *l*, *h*, *k*, *F_o*, *F_c* and the phase angle (°). Those marked * seem to suffer from large unknown errors.

0	0	1	3.00	4.23	0.00	-1 1	4	54 • 95	60.54	186.75	0	2	2	22.00	18.53	7-86
0	0	2	4.22	6.21	0,00	-1 1	5	72.76	71.31	92.46	0	2	3	3.39	4.92	217.21
0	0	3	3.67	3.85	0.00	-1 1	6	30.52	33.12	169.43	0	2	Å.	67.91	70.54	357.21
0	0	4	38.31	-40.09	180.00	-1 1	8	10.84	9+67	27.89	0	2	5	30.66	30.33	85.28
Š.	Ň	2	2.42	0.04	0.00	-1 1		51.67	27.78	277.72	0	2	6	56.51	59.30	5-11
Ň	~	-	77.12	20.27	180.00	-1 1	10	21.65	20.02	373.70	. 0	2	7	8+89	10.55	330.61
Ň	×		2.71	28.13	180.00			14 - 7/	40.42	29/103	0	2	8	23.63	20.93	179.56
Ň	Ň	ö	4.19	4.23	180.00	2 1		97.91	47.42	267 66	0	2	9	6.17	6.16	329.12
ň	ň	10	31.76	40.64	0.00	2 1		17.00	12.41	341.27	1	2	- 2	34.15	42.55	275.78
ĩ	ŏ	1	68.76	65.25	90.76	2 1		14.91	13.44	65.43	1	ž.	2	50.78	35.05	1/5+94
i	÷	ż	66.23	74.54	354.36	2 1	í í	24.67	25.12	190.18	1	ž	?	67.33	/6+47	200.00
* 1	ō	3	107.20	87.52	87.30	2 1	7	40.48	38.55	87.35		2	4	8.40	10.50	102 53
1	ō	á	18.51	15.59	29.99	2 1	Å	32.96	30.75	164.01	1	-	Ş	10.07	13.21	102.33
1	ó	Ś	9.56	7.12	73.52	2 1	i õ	13.13	13.65	111.35		2	5	10.87	11.57	00.03
1	ò	6	13.15	10.05	161.41	-2 1	í í	19.45	24.71	68.96		2	!	57.05	07.21	15 15
1	Ó	7	47.53	49.91	272.04	-2 1	2	28.88	32.91	355.73		ŝ	8	21.12	24.00	84.72
1	0	8	33.14	31.50	192.78	-2 1	3	94.64	97.94	94.70	-1	5	1	76.72	69.87	273.27
1	0	9	11.50	11.22	242.10	-2 1	i 4	29.35	32.14	22.49	-1	2	2	71.54	76.04	359.64
1	0	10	7.88	12.01	331.84	-2 1	1 5	19.02	20.45	290.89	-1	2	3	54.29	38.65	271.94
2	0	0	61.68	66.46	356.28	-2 1	6 1	17.54	17.17	159.17	-1	2	á	16.42	15.30	331.23
2	0	1	84.95	89.10	268.29	-2 1	17	49-87	57.00	268.54	-1	2	5	3-47	3-25	17.44
2		2	22.11	20.02	350.44	-2 1	8	9.72	9.06	214-52	-1	2	6	29.27	28.51	192.68
	~	2	14.50	15.40	90.40	-2	9	21.32	20.14	263.37	-1	2	7	53.92	53.73	87.29
5	×	- 2	54.07	62.41	202.33	2		76.95	95.65	176.76	-1	2	8	41.98	40.39	175.79
5	Ň	6	22.27	21.91	152 08			10.54	9.52	100 00	-1	2	9	19.68	17.97	115.02
-	Ň	-	10 44	8 66	112.03	2	2	24.40	22.51	182.00	2	2	0	36.15	41.93	174.19
5	ň	á	14.10	11.60	10.22	2	2	50 17	57 59	07.77	2	2	1	41.99	44.56	95.55
2	ŏ	ě	38.95	39.17	267.51			2.43	1.10	269.21	2	2	2	8.92	7.05	190.47
	ō	í	6.91	5.21	257.96		6	39.42	39.39	0.49	-	ž	2	29.40	28.98	200.42
5	õ	ż	78.37	93.73	356.96	5 1	1 7	13.45	13.41	46.78	5	2	4	53 02	56 54	269 60
3	ō	3	5.06	4.56	319.25	3 1	1 8	18.93	17.23	177.49	5	5	2	29.47	27.29	340.47
3	0	Â.	32.52	33.84	0.39	3 1	1 9	2.39	6.36	293.67	2	2	ž	13.66	12.22	294 .67
3	0	5	5.94	3+65	337 - 41	-3 1	1 0	59.02	75.75	357-43	2	2	8	10.53	8.90	209.10
3	0	6	28.83	26.10	193.01	-3 1	1 1	9.81	9.92	326.39	-2	2	õ	16.60	13.55	191.95
3	0	7	12.69	9.87	76-41	-3 1	12	29.49	33.18	, 7.25	-2	2	1	54+43	58.20	267.16
2	•	8	43.88	42.21	173.98	-3 1	3	9.64	8.66	297.84	-2	2	2	3.27	3.03	102.58
5	0	9	4.31	9.63	177-47	-2 1	4	66.15	72.95	181.64	-2	2	3	38.88	40.48	100.21
	0		57-15	56.02	168.85	-2	2	50.69	29.04	277.25	-2	2	4	22.06	21.61	340.78
- 1	Ň	5	9.84	10.60	203.10		7	55.04	2/10	171.20	-2	2	5	68.95	79.06	90.22
- 2	Ň	- 1	16.05	12 51	102.06			18.81	16.16	354 81	-2	2	6	20.98	21.29	38 - 84
- 7	ŏ	1	26.85	22.14	8.87	3		3.33	3.44	133.91	-2	2	1	16.33	14-33	81.54
7	ō	5	39.94	39.57	90.36	- A 1	i í	36.76	33.59	272.93	-2	ž	8	9.50	8.99	146+20
i.	ò	6	27.81	25.28	3.28		1 2	39.12	36.78	175.35		ŝ	7	7 51	5 10	49.24
4	0	7	6.23	7.10	61.75		3	47.72	50.86	264.72	ź	5	2	66.36	72.28	176.01
- 4	0	8	9.87	12.24	158.55	4	1 4	22.32	20.34	191.37	í.	2		14.67	13.76	238.24
5	0		87.01	37-65	265.01	4 '	15	5-48	3.62	73.78	ś	2	á.	24.86	22.11	192.72
5	•	2	21.53	19.17	2.74	4 1	16	15.11	13.10	349.24	ŝ	2	5	9.80	7.19	113.79
2	0	3	45.30	45.70	268.82	4 1	1 7	37.48	38.92	89.65	3	2	6	34.68	34.21	1.12
2		- 1	20.46	19.24	352-48	-4 1	! !	33.82	34.45	87.25	3	2	7	8.40	6.92	117.30
2	~	2	2.12	40.05	194 41		2	49.50	46.92	180.45	5	2	8	39.21	44.86	354.86
~	Ň	Ň	47 36	52.05	171.00		2	44.80	48.00	160.04	-?	2	1	7.54	3.82	347-51
Å	ŏ	ĭ	5.86	5.18	121.30		: 2	20.20	2.79	242.98	-?	2	2	68.95	77.14	0.05
6	õ	ż	18.30	17.26	175.99	- 2 -		31.14	29.40	10.44		2	2	22.07	22.22	89.54
6	õ	5	3.97	4.67	239.11		1 7	36.50	32.70	262.44	- 2	5	4	40.72	10.22	335.88
6	0	- Á	28.87	32.97	5.23	-4.	1 8	26.89	27.69	356.76		2	ŕ	35-09	38.93	175.88
٥	1	1	8.70	7.90	121.13	5 .	1 0	32.99	25.65	176.47	-3	2	ž	21.15	15.98	273.55
* 0	1	2	152.64	116.16	359.20	5 .	1 1	42.32	43.40	88.12	-3	2	ė	51.08	49.48	190.50
0	1	3	7.90	9.26	333.21	5 '	1 • 3	8.53	8.28	293.05	-3	2	9	4.26	7.60	205.72
0	1	4	48.85	45.33	5.98	- 5	1 4	21.47	19.17	4.78	- 4	2	0	.48.40	41.09	351.25
0	1	5	8.59	6.18	298.26	5	1 5	40.08	42.11	265-12	- 4	2	1	39.78	35.37	90.85
0	1	6	44.78	47.32	178.09	2	1 6	15.07	18.70	335.70	4	2	2	10.52	9.95	123.33
	1	- 4	21./1	10./1	206.79			18.24	10.62	4-54	4	2	3	25.97	21.31	272.08
~ Ň	4	8	10.08	42.43	206.13		1 2	17.75	15.74	30.91	4	2	4	13.70	11.05	184.56
ŏ	i	10	4-13	3.81	8.07		i i	21.27	19.79	272.91	1	2	ĥ	21.59	10 44	192 51
ĩ	i	ō	64.12	66.50	178.35	-5	i í	13.64	10.69	169.78	- 1	5	7	4.90	5.75	259.77
1	÷.	1	61.57	65.74	269.28	_خ_	1 5	49.62	54.87	276.83	1	2	6	63.24	67.71	5.01
1	1	2	36.63	40.88	182.13	-5	16	8.95	8.31	218.65	-1	2	ĭ	54.00	32.26	270.51
1	1	3	18.87	21.89	99.55	6	1 İ	8.83	8.08	213.69	-4	2	2	12.69	10.95	262.02
1	1	4	27.48	27.52	545.28	6	12	40.52	43.36	177.06	-4	2	3	31.98	50+55	100.20
1	1	5	78.36	87.86	88.27	6	1 3	11.79	11.20	68.33	-4	2	Â.	36.30	56.68	176.78
1	1	6	17.42	16.83	41.63	-6	!!	8.35	7.27	179.18	-4	2	5	34.50	34.68	90.25
1	1	8	13.89	10.76	151.94	-6	1 2	43.77	42.47	180.17	-4	2	6	35.14	33.66	180.62
1	1	9	-35-43	31.46	275.66		1 3	20.79	10.50	102 22	-4	2	7	17.71	16.92	125.65
€ <u>-</u>]	1	•	77.85	63.57	557.20	-0		27.14	47+79	145 57	-4	2	8	13.28	14.26	24.71
	1	1	10.50	02.70	215.81	*0		155.52	119.50	178.92	5	2	1	24.08	22.80	93.38
_		-	5.54	6.46	60.51		2 4	177-72	7.75	245.16	2	2	2	27.51	23.08	160.02
	•	,	7+24	0+40				7+07	1112		5	2	•	40.21	57.40	89.86

J. K. MOHANA RAO AND M. A. VISWAMITRA

Table 3 (cont.)

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Interatomic distances and geometry of groups

(i) Selenite ions

. . . .

The dimensions of the two selenite groups are listed in Table 4(a). In each selenite group, the differences between the maximum (~ 1.76 Å) and the minimum $(\sim 1.66 \text{ Å})$ Se-O distances are significantly above the standard deviations $(\Delta/\sigma \approx 3 \text{ to } \overline{4})$. The symmetry of both the selenite groups departs from the ideal 3m (C_{3v}) symmetry, a result in agreement with the Ramaneffect studies by Bazhulin, Myasnikova & Rakov (1964). The deviations of the two selenium atoms, Se(1) and

Table 4. Interatomic distances and group geometry

(a)).	Dimensions	of	the se	lenite	ions	in t	he structure.	The	values	of	Vedam et	al,	are	given	below	our	entries	
-----	----	------------	----	--------	--------	------	------	---------------	-----	--------	----	----------	-----	-----	-------	-------	-----	---------	--

Se(1)-O(1)	1.76 ± 0.02 Å	Se(2)–O(2)	1·68±0·02 Å
S-(1) O(2)	1.77 ± 0.05		1.70 ± 0.04
Se(1) = O(3)	1.65 ± 0.02	Se(2)–O(4)	1.76 ± 0.02
$S_{e}(1) = O(5)$	1.77 ± 0.03		1.66 ± 0.05
Se(1)=O(3)	1.77 ± 0.02 1.72 ± 0.05	Se(2) = O(6)	1.65 ± 0.02
O(1) = O(3)	$1^{1}/2 \pm 0.03$ $2 \cdot 60 \pm 0.02$	O(2) $O(4)$	1.71 ± 0.03
0(1) 0(3)	2.00 ± 0.02 2.59 ± 0.07	O(2) = O(4)	2.63 ± 0.03 2.54 ± 0.06
O(1) -O(5)	2.63 ± 0.02	O(2) - O(6)	2.34 ± 0.00 2.71 ± 0.03
	2.58 ± 0.07		2.80 ± 0.07
O(3) -O(5)	2.69 ± 0.03	O(4) –O(6)	2.54 ± 0.03
	2.68 ± 0.07		2.57 ± 0.07
O(1) - Se(1) - O(3)	$99.2 + 0.8^{\circ}$	O(2) - Se(2) - O(4)	100.7 + 0.9°
	97.0 ± 6.0		98.0 + 6.0
O(1) - Se(1) - O(5)	96·5 ±0·8	O(2) - Se(2) - O(6)	108.6 ± 1.0
	96·0 <u>+</u> 6·0		110.0 ± 6.0
O(3) - Se(1) - O(5)	103.9 ± 0.8	O(4) - Se(2) - O(6)	96·7 ±1·0
	102.0 ± 6.0		99·0 <u>+</u> 6·0

(b) Non-bonded Se-O contacts less than the van der Waals contact (3.4 Å)

Se(1)–O(2) Se(1)–O(5) Se(1)–O(4)	(x-1, y, z) $(\frac{1}{2}+x-1, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x-1, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 80 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \text{ Å} \\ 3 \cdot 07 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ 3 \cdot 29 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \end{array}$
Se(2)–O(6) Se(2)–O(1) Se(2)–O(3)	$(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z) (x+1, y, z) (\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.94 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å} \\ 3.01 \pm 0.02 \\ 3.02 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$

(c) Dimensions of the coordination polyhedron around the lithium ion in the structure

Li-O(1) Li-O(2) Li-O(3) Li-O(4) Li-O(5) Li-O(6)	(x+1, y, z) (x, y, z-1) (x+1, y, z-1) $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ ($\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1$)		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 06 \pm 0 \cdot 09 \text{ Å} \\ 2 \cdot 21 \pm 0 \cdot 09 \\ 2 \cdot 23 \pm 0 \cdot 12 \\ 2 \cdot 27 \pm 0 \cdot 12 \\ 2 \cdot 23 \pm 0 \cdot 09 \\ 2 \cdot 19 \pm 0 \cdot 09 \end{array}$
O(1) O(1) O(1) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2	$\begin{array}{c} (x+1, y, z) & \longrightarrow & O(3) \\ (x+1, y, z) & \longrightarrow & O(4) \\ (x+1, y, z) & \longrightarrow & O(5) \\ (x+1, y, z) & \longrightarrow & O(6) \\ (x, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(3) \\ (x, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(4) \\ (x, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(5) \\ (x, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(6) \\ (x+1, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(6) \\ (x+1, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(6) \\ (x+1, y, z-1) & \longrightarrow & O(6) \\ & -O(5) \\ & -O(6) \end{array}$	$(x+1, y, z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(x+1, y, z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \cdot 01 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \text{\AA} \\ 3 \cdot 23 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \\ 3 \cdot 00 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ 3 \cdot 10 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ 2 \cdot 98 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ 3 \cdot 17 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \\ 3 \cdot 18 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ 2 \cdot 98 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ 3 \cdot 16 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \\ 3 \cdot 00 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \\ 3 \cdot 34 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \\ 3 \cdot 11 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \end{array}$
O(1) O(1) O(1) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(2) O(3) O(3) O(4) O(4) O(4)	$\begin{array}{c} (x+1, y, z) &\text{Li-O(3)} \\ (x+1, y, z) &\text{Li-O(4)} \\ (x+1, y, z) &\text{Li-O(5)} \\ (x+1, y, z) &\text{Li-O(6)} \\ (x, y, z-1) &\text{Li-O(3)} \\ (x, y, z-1) &\text{Li-O(4)} \\ (x, y, z-1) &\text{Li-O(5)} \\ (x, y, z-1) &\text{Li-O(6)} \\ (x+1, y, z-1) &\text{Li-O(6)} \\ (x+1, y, z-1) &\text{Li-O(6)} \\ &\text{Li-O(6)} \\ \end{array}$	$(x+1, y, z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(x+1, y, z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$ $(\frac{1}{2}+x, 2-y, \frac{1}{2}+z-1)$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table 4 (cont.)

(d) The dimensions of the lithium polyhedron from the earlier models. Vedam *et al.* have proposed the lithium ion at (0.65, 0.075, 0.23), indicated by Li(V), whereas Gavrilova-Podolskaya proposed it at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ which is indicated by Li(G).

Li(V)-O(6)	$(\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1)$	1·74 Å
Li(V)-Se(2)	$(\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1)$	2·06
Li(V)-O(2)	$(\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1)$	2·11
Li(V)-O(4)	$(\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$	2·21
Li(G)-Se(1) Li(G)-O(3) Li(G)-O(2) Li(G)-O(5)	(x + 1, y, z) $(\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1)$ $(\frac{1}{2} + x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1)$	1·64 Å 2·17 2·26 2·40

(e) Hydrogen bond distances and Donohue angles. The values of Vedam et al. are quoted below our values.

O(1)–O(6)	$2.53 \pm 0.03 \text{ Å} \\ 2.57 \pm 0.07 $	O(1)-O(6)-Se(2) O(6)-O(1)-Se(1)	$ \begin{array}{r} 116.8 \pm 1.0^{\circ} \\ 109.0 \\ 118.5 \pm 0.8 \\ 119.0 \end{array} $
O(2)–O(5)	2.52 ± 0.03	O(2)-O(5)-Se(1)	$120.9 \pm 0.9^{\circ}$
	2.32 ± 0.07	O(5)–O(2)–Se(2)	1250 116.4 ± 0.9 115.0
O(3)–O(4) $(x, y, z+1)$	2.52 ± 0.03 Å	O(4) $(x, y, z+1)$ -O(3)-Se(1)	$127.6 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$
	2 50 - 0.08	O(3)-O(4) $(x, y, z+1)$ -Se(2) $(x, y, z+1)$	129.7 ± 1.0 130.0

Se(2), from the planes of their respective oxygen atoms are 0.80 and 0.74 Å. These oxygen planes themselves are almost parallel ($\theta \approx 1^{\circ}$).

(ii) Non-bonded oxygen atoms close to the selenium atoms

Each selenium atom, in addition to the three covalently-bonded oxygen atoms, has three more oxygen neighbours at 2.8 to 3.3 Å (indicated by full lines in



Fig. 3. The environment of the lithium ion at $(0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ as viewed down the *a* axis. The nomenclature of atoms is as follows:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} A & \frac{1}{2} + x, \, -y + 1, \, \frac{1}{2} + z. \\ B & \frac{1}{2} + x - 1, \, -y + 1, \, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1. \\ C & \frac{1}{2} + x, \, -y + 1, \, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1. \\ D & \frac{1}{2} + x - 1, \, -y + 1, \, \frac{1}{2} + z. \\ E & x, \, y, \, z. \\ F & x, \, y - 1, \, z. \end{array}$

Fig. 5), which are shorter [Table 4(b)] than the sum (3.4 Å) of the van der Waals radii for the selenium and oxygen atoms (Pauling, 1960). These six oxygen atoms around each selenium atom are directed towards the corners of a distorted octahedron. Similar octahedral environments for the selenium atom have also been found in the structures of NaD₃(SeO₃)₂ (Mohana Rao, 1970), KH₃(SeO₃)₂ (Hansen, Hazell & Rasmussen, 1969), N(CH₃)₄Cl.5SeOCl₂ (Hermodsson, 1967), H₂SeO₃ (Wells & Bailey, 1949) and SeO₂ (McCullough, 1937). The non-bonded short contacts are perhaps due to the attraction of the formal positive charge on the selenium atom and the lone-pair electrons of the oxygen atoms.

(iii) Environment of the lithium ion

Table 4(c) gives the dimensions of the lithium-oxygen octahedron. The minimum, maximum and mean Li-O distances are 2.06, 2.27, 2.20 Å respectively. The maximum deviation of the Li-O distance (0.14 Å) from the mean value and the maximum standard deviation in the Li-O distance (0.12 Å) are of the same order. The minimum, maximum and mean O-Li-O angles are 85.3, 96.4 and 89.9°. The maximum deviation from the mean is therefore 6.5° and is again of the order of the standard deviation for the O-Li-Oangle, *i.e.* 4.3°. On the other hand, the minimum, maximum and average values of the O-O edges in the octahedron are 2.98, 3.34 and 3.11 Å and the maximum deviation of the O-Oedge from the mean is 0.23 Å compared to the maximum standard deviation of 0.03 Å. The deviations are therefore significant and the Li-O octahedron is a distorted one, although very nearly regular. The dimensions of the Li-O octahedron compare favourably with those found in LiNbO₃ (Abrahams, Reddy & Bernstein, 1966; Abrahams, Hamilton & Reddy, 1966) and LiTaO₃ (Abrahams & Bernstein, 1967; Abrahams, Hamilton & Sequeira, 1967). The Li–O and Li–Se distances for the earlier models for the lithium ion are also given in Table 4(d). It can be seen that the lithium ion has no definite coordination polyhedron in these cases.

(iv) Hydrogen bonding

The only short O-O distances not involved in the edges of the selenium or the lithium coordination polyhedra are those listed in Table 4(e). Since there are only three such distances per asymmetric unit and three hydrogen atoms to be placed in them, the location of the hydrogen bonds is unambiguously determined. This hydrogen-bonding scheme is the same as the one favoured by Vedam et al. purely on consideration of distances alone. From Fig. 4, it can be seen that all the selenite oxygen atoms take part in the bond formation either as donors or acceptors. Two of the bonds, O(1)-O(6) and O(2)-O(5), are almost perpendicular to the direction of P_s and the third crosses the pseudoinversion centre $(0,\frac{3}{4},0)$. There is a closed loop of oxvgen atoms [Se(1) O(5) O(2) Se(2) O(6) O(1)] because of hydrogen bonding. A similar loop exists in the crystal structure of H₂SeO₃ (Wells & Bailey, 1949) also, with the difference that two of the oxygen atoms in the loop form additional hydrogen bonds connecting the neighbouring loops. The formation of the closed loop and the direction of P_s being perpendicular to the hydrogen bonds are also somewhat similar to the situation in KH₂PO₄.

(v) Location of the hydrogen atoms within the hydrogen bonds

The difference-Fourier synthesis gave no clue to the position of the hydrogen atoms. They were, however, located from the following considerations:

(a) In each of the hydrogen bonds, O(1)-O(6), O(2)-O(5) and O(3)-O(4) (x,y,z+1) (Fig. 4), we do not know for certain which oxygen atom is the donor and which is the acceptor, resulting therefore in two probable positions for the hydrogen atom. If the structure is fully ordered, only one oxygen atom of each pair can be a donor.

(b) If the choices of the donor oxygen atoms are independent, there are eight possible configurations for the selenite pair in the structure. Two of these give pairs of groups $H_3SeO_3^+$ and SeO_3^2 ; the other six give pairs of groups, H_2SeO_3 and $HSeO_3^-$. There is infrared evidence to suggest that only the latter pairs are possible (Khanna, Decius & Lippincott, 1965). The six possible configurations are shown schematically in Fig. 6. Of these, (I)-(IV), (II)-(V) and (III)-(VI) are related by the pseudo-inversion operation which interchanges atoms O(1)-O(2), O(3)-O(4), O(5)-O(6) and Se(1)-Se(2).

(c) The possibility of disordered combinations of some or all of these configurations can be ruled out on two grounds:

(i) Disorder allowing both members of a pair would

produce an average structure with an inversion centre which is not found.

(ii) A recent deutron magnetic resonance study of ALS by Soda & Chiba (1969) shows that all protons in the structure occupy ordered sites, the $O-H\cdots O$ bonds being asymmetrical. The only evidence for disorder comes from the neutron diffraction work of Van den Hende & Boutin (1963). They found 'split hydrogen atoms' divided between pairs of sites on O(1)-O(6) and O(2)-O(5) bonds and a third, non-bonding hydrogen atom in quite a different position from that suggested



Fig. 4. The contents of the unit cell as viewed down the *b* axis. The broken lines represent the hydrogen bonds. The dark and open circles on these bonds are the hydrogen atoms proposed by us and Soda & Chiba respectively. The primed atoms have a symmetry $(\frac{1}{2} + x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z - 1)$.



Fig. 5. The structure as viewed down the c axis. The hydrogen bonds in the structure are indicated by broken lines. The full lines from each selenium atom indicate the non-bonded selenium-oxygen contacts.

in the present work. Pending further details, the significance of their work is doubtful.

(d) The correct configuration out of the six can be determined by an examination of the bond lengths and bond angles of the selenite groups. The possible resonant structures for H_2SeO_3 (I and II) and $HSeO_3^-$ (III to VI) can be written as follows:

$$: \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{O}}} - \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{Se}}} - \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{O}}} - H \qquad \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{O}}} = \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{Se}}} - \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{O}}} - H \qquad \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{Se}}} = \overset{\circ}{\underset{i}{\text{Se}}} - \overset$$

Thus we expect in H₂SeO₃, two single and one partial double Se–O bond, whereas in HSeO₃⁻ we have only one Se–O single bond and two partial double bonds. The sum of the covalent single-bond radii as given by Pauling (1960) for the selenium and the oxygen atoms is 1.83 Å, whereas it is 1.62 Å for the double-bond. In the structure of SeO₂ (McCullough, 1937), one of the oxygen atoms is bonded equally to two selenium atoms and hence the observed Se–O length of 1.78 Å can be taken as representative of the Se–O single bond length. In the crystal structure of N(CH₃)₄Cl. 5SeOCl₂ (Hermodsson, 1967), the oxygen atom is solely bonded to one selenium atom only and hence the observed Se–O length of 1.57 Å can

be taken as representative of the double bond. Comparing these values with the Se-O distances observed in the present structure [Table 4(a)], the bonds Se(1)– O(1) and Se(1)-O(5) in the first selenite group and the bond Se(2)-O(4) in the second selenite group can be considered as being close to single bonds, these bond lengths being 1.76, 1.77 and 1.76 Å respectively. It is therefore reasonable to expect that the three hydrogen atoms are attached to the oxygen atoms O(1), O(5) and O(4) in order to satisfy the valency of the oxygen atoms. The selenite groups containing Se(1) and Se(2) are therefore of the type H_2 SeO₃ and HSeO₃ respectively, which is also in accordance with the infrared studies already mentioned. The calculated positions of the hydrogen atoms along the hydrogen bonds, assuming an O-H distance of 1.05 Å, are found to be (-0.162, 0.858,0.384), (0.125, 0.611, 0.574) and (0.022, 0.771, 0.001). The present conclusion is in contrast with the results of Soda & Chiba (1969), who from their deuteron magnetic resonance studies on LiD₃(SeO₃)₂ concluded that the deuterons are attached to the oxygen atoms O(2), O(3)and O(6) respectively. The dark circles in Fig. 4 are the positions of the hydrogen atoms from the present work, whereas the open circles represent the positions arrived at from the magnetic resonance studies.

The bond-length criterion employed above, namely that the hydrogen atoms are attached to those oxygen atoms making maximum bond length with the selenium



Fig. 6. Possible configurations (H_2SeO_3 and $HSeO_3^-$) for the selenite groups depending on how the hydrogen atoms are attached to the oxygen atoms (see also Fig. 4). Configurations (VI) and (III) correspond to our and Soda & Chiba's hydrogen positions respectively.

atom, finds support in other selenite structures in which the hydrogen atoms have been established from diffraction work. For instance, in the structures of both NaH₃(SeO₃)₂ (Kaplan, Kay & Morosin, 1970) and KH₃(SeO₃)₂ (Hansen, Hazell & Rasmussen, 1969), the hydrogen atoms are found attached to the oxygen atoms with maximum Se-O length. An additional feature of the selenite groups in these structures is that the O-Se-O angle involving the oxygen atoms with hydrogen atoms attached is much smaller than the O-Se-O angle involving the oxygen atoms with hydrogen atoms not attached. From Table 4(a), it can be seen that this situation also exists in the structure of ALS with the hydrogen positions as proposed above. The criteria of larger bond lengths and smaller bond angles when the hydrogen atoms are attached to the oxygen atoms seem to be valid in many other structures containing inorganic radicals like phosphates (Bacon & Pease, 1955; Kraut, 1961; Sundaralingam, 1966; Sundaralingam & Jensen, 1965; Viswamitra & Reddy, 1970). It is therefore suggested that in structures where the hydrogen atoms are not located, these two criteria can be used with a certain degree of confidence to locate them.

Surroundings of the oxygen atoms

Each oxygen atom of the selenite group, besides being covalently linked to a selenium atom, has three other near neighbours: a lithium ion, a hydrogenbonded oxygen atom and a distant selenium atom.

The four neighbours are directed towards the corners of a distorted trigonal pyramid, with the distant selenium atom being the apex of the pyramid, and the rest of the atoms including the oxygen atom occupying the base of the pyramid.

Note added in proof: Ferroelectricity and crystal structure: Futama & Pepinsky (1962) found that the optic axial plane is approximately parallel to the $(\overline{1}01)$ plane and the optic axial angle is 68° with the b axis as the acute bisectrix. The optic axes are approximately along the [111] and [111] directions. The rotatory powers along the two optic axes were found to be equal in magnitude, but opposite in sign. A dextro-laevo conversion took place with a field of about 1.5 to 2kV. cm^{-1} applied along the optic axis. The component of this field along the line of intersection of the $(\overline{1}01)$ and (010) planes in the structure is about the same as the component of the coercive field in this direction. This direction, in the structure, coincides closely with the O(3)-O(4)(x,y,z+1) hydrogen bond crossing the pseudo-inversion centre at $(0,\frac{3}{4},0)$. If the optical rotation of a particular sign corresponds to a particular state of polarization (Shuvalov & Ivanov, 1964), we can reasonably assume that the structural changes associated with the polarization reversal in ALS are triggered by the movement of the proton along the above hydrogen bond. This movement involves an interchange of H_2 SeO₃ group into $HSeO_3^-$ and vice versa, thus changing the character of the Se-O bonds. This explanation is in

line with the suggestion of Pepinsky, who ascribed the change in the sign of the optical activity during polarization reversal to the changes in the character of the Se–O bonds of the selenite group.

The authors thank Prof. R. S. Krishnan for his interest and Prof P. S. Narayanan for many useful discussions; also, they thank the referee for his kind comments and criticisms.

References

- ABRAHAMS, S. C. & BERNSTEIN, J. L. (1967). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 28, 1685.
- ABRAHAMS, S. C., HAMILTON, W. C. & REDDY, J. M. (1966). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 27, 1013.
- ABRAHAMS, S. C., HAMILTON, W. C. & SEQUEIRA, A. (1967). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 28, 1693.
- Abrahams, S. C., Reddy, J. M. & Bernstein, J. L. (1966). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 27, 997.
- BACON, G. E. & PEASE, R. S. (1955). Proc. Roy. Soc. A 230, 359.
- BAILEY, P. (1952). Thesis (Bristol Univ.) quoted in Ferroelectricity in Crystals by H. D. MEGAW. London: Methuen.
- BAZHULIN, P. A., MYASNIKOVA, T. P. & RAKOV, A. V. (1964). Fiz. Tverd. Tela, 5 (1963) 1783. Trans. Sov. Phys. Solid State, 5, 1299.
- BERLINCOURT, D., COOK JR, W. R. & RANDER, M. E. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 163.
- CHOU, K. D. & TANG, Y. C. (1957). K'o Hsüeh T'ung Pao, 10, 299. Chem. Abstr. 53 (1959) 8761g.
- CHOU, K. D. & TANG, Y. C. (1958). Pei Ching Ta Hsüeh Pao-Tza Jan K'o Hsüeh, 4, 201; Chem. Abstr. 53(1959) 8761h.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104. CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1965). Computing Methods in
- Crystallography, Ch. 13, p. 107. Ed. ROLLETT, J. S., Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- FATUZZO, E. (1959). Helv. Phys. Acta 32, 302.
- FATUZZO, E. (1960). Helv. Phys. Acta. 33, 21.
- FUTAMA, H. & PEPINSKY, R. (1962). J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 17, 725.
- GAVRILOVA-PODOLSKAYA, G. V. (1966). Proc. First International Meeting on Ferroelectricity, Prague, Vol. II, 390.
- HANSEN, F., HAZELL, R. G. & RASMUSSEN, S. E. (1969). Acta Chem. Scand. 23, 2561.
- HERMODSSON, Y. (1967). Acta Chem. Scand. 21, 1328.
- JONA, F. & SHIRANE, G. (1962). Ferroelectric Crystals. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- KAPLAN, S. F., KAY, M. I. & MOROSIN, B. (1970). Ferroelectrics, 1, 31.
- KHANNA, R. K., DECIUS, J. C. & LIPPINCOTT, E. R. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 43, 2974.
- KRAUT, J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 1146.
- LIPSON, H. & COCHRAN, W. (1966). The Determination of Crystal Structures, p. 319. London: Bell.
- MATTHIAS, B. T. (1967). J. Appl. Phys. 38, 928.
- MCCULLOUGH, J. D. (1937). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 59, 789. MOHANA RAO, J. K. (1970). To be published.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, p. 224, Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- PEPINSKY, R. & VEDAM, K. (1959). *Phys. Rev.* **114**, 1217. SAMARA, G. A. (1968). *Phys. Rev.* **173**, 605.

- SAMARA, G. A. & ANDERSON, D. H. (1966). Solid State Commun. 4, 653.
- SHUVALOV, L. A. & IVANOV, N. R. (1964). Kristallografiya, 9, 363. Trans. Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 9, (1964), 290.
- SODA, G. & CHIBA, T. (1969). J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 26, 717. SUNDARALINGAM, M. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 495.
- SUNDARALINGAM, M. & JENSEN, L. H. (1965). J. Mol. Biol. 13, 914.

Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 1775

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of 3-(2-Diethylammoniumethoxy)-1,2-benzisothiazole Tetrachlorocuprate

By Anna Corradi Bonamartini, Mario Nardelli, Clotilde Palmieri and Corrado Pelizzi

Istituto di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Università degli Studi, Centro di Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del C.N.R., Parma, Italy

(Received 29 September 1970)

3-(2-Diethylammoniumethoxy)-1,2-benzisothiazole tetrachlorocuprate, $(C_{13}H_{19}N_2OS)_2^+[CuCl_4]^{2-}$, forms monoclinic crystals (*C*2/*c*) with cell dimensions $a=25\cdot24$ (1), $b=9\cdot38$ (2), $c=13\cdot58$ (1)Å, $\beta=91\cdot6(3)^\circ$, Z=4. The organic ion shows no direct coordinative interactions with copper which forms a tetrachlorocuprate ion having a flattened tetrahedral structure (Cu-Cl=2\cdot259 (3), 2\cdot220 (2) Å). The organic cation consists of a planar benzisothiazole system with a side chain containing the tetrahedral protonated diethylammonium group. All hydrogen atoms have been located directly from the last ΔF synthesis. Packing is determined mainly by hydrogen-bonding interaction (NH···Cl=3·14 Å) involving the tetrachlorocuprate anion and the organic cation and by a short Cl···S=3·34 Å contact.

Introduction

A series of 1,2-benzisothiazole derivatives has recently been prepared by Vitali, Mossini, Bertaccini & Impicciatore (1968) to study the local anesthetic and antihistaminic properties of the derivatives. Among these products, 3-(2-diethylammoniumethoxy)-1,2-benzisothiazole chloride forms crystalline compounds with MnCl₂, CoCl₂, CuCl₂. This paper reports on the crystal structure of what was originally thought to be a complex between this organic base and copper(II) chloride but which turned out to be the 3-(2-diethylammoniumethoxy)-1,2-benzisothiazole tetrachlorocuprate.

Experimental

3-(2-Diethylammoniumethoxy)-1,2-benzisothiazole tetrachlorocuprate occurs as yellow monoclinic crystals. When the crystals are examined with plane-polarized light looking down the a and b axes, a dichroic effect is observed: the colour ranges from bright yellow to green-yellow when the electric vector vibrates perpendicular to the c axis and when it vibrates parallel to the c axis respectively.

Crystal data, deduced from rotation and Weissenberg photographs (Cu $K\alpha$, $\bar{\lambda} = 1.5418$ Å) are as follows (standard deviations given in parentheses are in units of the last decimal figure):

 $(C_{13}H_{19}N_2OS)_2[CuCl_4], M = 708.12, a = 25.24(1),$

b=9.38 (2), c=13.58 (1) Å, $\beta=91.6$ (3)°, V=3278 Å³, Z=4, $D_m=1.43$ g.cm⁻³, $D_c=1.41$ g.cm⁻³, F(000)= 1468, $\mu=53.2$ cm⁻¹ (Cu Kα). Space group: C2/c (from systematic absences and structure analysis).

Three-dimensional intensity data were determined photometrically on integrated Weissenberg photographs taken up to the eighth and tenth layers along [010] and [001] respectively (multiple-film technique, Cu K α). The total number of independent reflexions was 3532 (possible 3914). No absorption correction was used since the samples were small (mean radii: 0.05 and 0.07 mm). After correction for Lorentz and polarization factors, the intensities were placed on the same relative scale (Rollett & Sparks, 1960) and the absolute scale was established by Wilson's (1942) method.

Structure analysis and refinement

The structure was solved with the heavy-atom method starting from the three-dimensional Patterson synthesis, which showed quite clearly that copper was on a twofold axis surrounded by four chlorine atoms that could be arranged planarly as well as tetrahedrally. This last arrangement proved to be the correct one; a three-dimensional Fourier synthesis showed all the other non-hydrogen atoms to be well resolved.

Refinement was then carried out, using all the observed reflexions, by block-diagonal and full-matrix least-squares methods. The minimized function was:

- VAN DEN HENDE, J. H. & BOUTIN, H. P. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, A184.
- VEDAM, K., OKAYA, Y. & PEPINSKY, R. (1960). *Phys. Rev.* 119, 1252.
- VIJAYAN, M. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 1237.
- VISWAMITRA, M. A. & REDDY, B. S. (1970). Private communication.
- Wells, A. F. & Bailey, M. (1949). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1282.